

# London Councils: Young People's Education and Skills - Operational Sub-Group

16-19 Bursary Fund - Financial Support for 16 to 19 year

olds in Education or Training Consultation

Author: Jonathan Rallings Job title: Principal Policy and Project Officer

**Date:** 10<sup>th</sup> June 2011

**Contact** Jonathan Rallings

Telephone: 020 7934 9524 Email: jonathan.rallings@londoncouncils.gov.uk

**Summary** This paper attaches the final approved draft of the YPES response to the

government's consultation on Financial Support for 16 to 19 year olds in

Education or Training.

**Recommendations** London Councils OSG members are asked to note the final *Financial Supp* 

16 to 19 year olds in Education or Training consultation response from Lo

Councils YPES.

### 1 Background

- 1.1 At the last Operational Sub-Group (OSG) meeting on 6 May 2011, OSG members discussed and approved London Councils YPES' response to the Government's consultation on *Financial Support for 16 to 19 year olds in Education or Training* with minor amendments.
- 1.2 Following OSG's approval the consultation response was circulated electronically to YPES members for comments and approval further specific changes were made following discussions with the Association of Colleges (AoC) and the Association of London Directors of Children's Services (ALDCS).
- 1.3 The final draft of the consultation response was approved by all three political parties and signed off by the chair of YPES, Cllr Steve Reed. The response was returned to the Department of Education by the 20 May 2011 date specified, and is included as Appendix A of this paper.

### 2 Recommendations

2.1 London Councils OSG members are asked to note the final *Financial Support for 16 to 19 year olds in Education or Training* consultation response from London Councils YPES.

### **APPENDIX A – London Councils Consultation Response**

# Financial Support for 16 to 19 year olds in Education or Training

**Consultation Response Form** 

The closing date for this consultation is: 20 May 2011. Your comments must reach us by that date.



THIS FORM IS NOT INTERACTIVE. If you wish to respond electronically please use the offline response facility available on the Department for Education consultation website (www.education.gov.uk/consultations).

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be subject to publication or disclosure in accordance with the access to information regimes, primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Data Protection Act 1998.

If you want all, or any part, of your response to be treated as confidential, please explain why you consider it to be confidential.

If a request for disclosure of the information you have provided is received, your explanation about why you consider it to be confidential will be taken into account, but no assurance can be given that confidentiality can be maintained. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

The Department will process your personal data (name and address and any other identifying material) in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998, and in the majority of circumstances, this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.

Please tick if you want us to keep your response confidential.						
Reason for confidentiality:						
Name	Jonathan Rallings					
Organisation (if applicable)	London Councils					
Address:	59½ Southwark Street London SE1 0AL					

If your enquiry is related to the policy content of the consultation you can contact the Public Communications Helpline on: Telephone: 0370 000 2288

e-mail: learnersupportfunding.consultation@education.gsi.gov.uk

If you have a query relating to the consultation process you can contact the Consultation Unit on:

Telephone: 0370 000 2288, e-mail: <a href="mailto:consultation.unit@dcsf.gsi.gov.uk">consultation.unit@dcsf.gsi.gov.uk</a>

Please tick the box that best describes you as a respondent. Young Person School Parent Sixth Form College Sixth Form College Independent Learning Training **Local Authority** Organisation Provider X Other- Please Representative specify Body London Councils is a membership organisation representing the interests of all 33 local authorities in London. London Councils houses the Young People's Education and Skills board (YPES) which provides strategic leadership in 14-19 education and training for London. The board brings together regional stakeholders from across the sector including local authority members and officers, providers (e.g. Association of Colleges), funding bodies (e.g. YPLA), and the Greater London Authority. This consultation response has been agreed by the YPES Board as a response for London. The questions below indicate the issues we are particularly interested in receiving your views on. You do not have to respond to every question and are welcome to submit a general response if you prefer. Q1) Do you think we have identified the right groups of young people to be eligible for the £1,200 bursary? (paragraph 3.2 of the consultation document) X Not Sure Yes No Comments: London Councils Young People's Education and Skills Board believes that the groups identified in the consultation represent some of the most vulnerable young people in London and we support the proposal that these groups should receive a full bursary. We would additionally suggest that Young Carers might be another group which should be considered eligible for this entitlement. However, we would caution that these groups alone do not constitute the whole range of young people in London who should benefit from a full bursary. Many young people are disadvantaged in their ability to access post-16 provision simply due to coming from a low-income background. Whilst we agree with the proposal for particularly vulnerable groups to receive a bursary regardless of family income, we are still concerned to ensure that those from the most disadvantaged homes are guaranteed access to the financial support they need to further their education. We are also pleased that government has elected to continue with the Care to Learn

programme. With the recent OECD report highlighting the high costs of childcare in the

	UK, and particularly London, most young parents would not even be able to afford to continue learning without this initial support.					
	2) Do you think paragraphs 3.4 &				r the way the fur	nd should operate?
	Yes		No	XN	ot Sure	
'	Comments:					
1 1	to ensure that to demand. If not wulnerable stude this it may be mounted	he Bursary for it could creat lents if this is nore prudent ups of young nces that the	unding pot ava ate a disincentive blikely to prove to centrally allo people identific eir choice of pro	lable in the care to providers a drain on the coate the £1,2 and in the consistion will not	apital is sufficie s for taking on eir bursary reso 00 bursaries d ultation or alte be prejudiced	the most ources. To avoid irectly to those
_	3) Do you agree the consultation		and colleges shou	ıld have discret	ion in these area	as? (paragraph 3.6
	<b>X</b> Yes		No		ot Sure	
	Commontor					
l '	Comments:					
	Association of ( proadly compai schools and wo	Colleges to a rable criteria ork-based lead ope this will read the basis of	agree a means for targeting su arning providers minimise the po	of ensuring th upport. We ar s to secure agossibility of yo	at institutions i e also seeking reement on pri ung Londoners	inciples for choosing post-
(	equalities and o		eves any agree	ed principles v		e full account of
	gender, disabili mpact of the in	ill have a sin ity, low incon	nilarly positive i ne], probably m	mpact on thes nore so than E	se groups [certa MA". It is impo	ortant that the

Q4) Are our proposals for transitional support the right ones for young people currently in receipt of EMA? (paragraphs 3.7 to 3.11 of the consultation document)							
<b>X</b> Yes	No	Not Sure					
London Councils YPE commitment to stude	Comments:  London Councils YPES is very pleased that the Government is honouring the commitment to students who signed up to EMA in 2009/10 and continuing these payments for those remaining in education during 2011/12.						
We are also pleased that a measure of continuity will be provided to some other existing students who may have embarked on a course last year in the good faith that EMA will be continued through the whole life of their studies. We nevertheless are disappointed that this support will be cut by a third for those most in need and altogether for those presently receiving EMA at lesser levels. We also would like Government to consider guaranteeing payments for those students who signed up in 2010/11 but are expecting to complete their post-16 education during 2012/13.							
Finally it is concerning that there are presently no support arrangements in place for students who would have been eligible for EMA since the scheme was withdrawn in December 2010. We would urge that bursary funding is brought in immediately to support those young people currently entering learning such as those beginning workbased learning schemes.							
Q5) Do you agree that the fund should be allocated in 2011/12 on the basis of the proportion of young people currently in receipt of the maximum weekly EMA payment? (paragraph 3.13 of the consultation document)							
Yes	<b>X</b> No	Not Sure					
Comments:							
London Councils YPES believes that given the significant reduction in funding, the bursary fund needs to be targeted to the most disadvantaged pupils. A measure such as Free School Meals (FSM) is better at capturing those young people facing greatest financial barriers to participation. It also better targets funding to support those most at risk of under-attainment – DfE figures for 2010 show that only 24.2% of young people in receipt of FSM achieved a Level 3 qualification compared to 53.6% of those not in receipt of FSM. A FSM measure is also consistent with funding streams in other parts of the education system – for example the Pupil Premium.							
funding – EMA take u that moving to a FSM	ip data is historic who I measure would cha iment is already prov	a need to use up to date data in allocating ereas FSM is more immediate. We disagreinge funding for providers too significantly to institutions through the	00				

However, in the longer term London Councils would like to see the development of a more sophisticated measure of deprivation that takes into account area-based measures of deprivation such as IMD alongside pupil level measures such as FSM. Such area-based measures factor in the impact of area effects on attainment such as neighbourhood/peer effects. There is no shortage of literature<sup>1</sup> pointing to the importance of neighbourhood and peer effects on attainment (ie:- children not eligible for FSM but that live in deprived areas and go to deprived schools but that do suffer an impact on their schooling and attainment due to their environment and peer group).

The DfE have announced that the replacement bursary is sufficient to provide £800 annual support for young people eligible for free school meals. We would want to be assured that the allocation to individual post-16 learning providers takes account of historic recruitment of those in receipt of FSM at Y11 and, at provider-level, is sufficient to guarantee this level of support for all eligible young people.

We would also remind Government that the higher cost of living in the capital means the financial barriers to participation for young people are also greater. This should be considered when calculating London's regional allocation of Bursary funding.

Q6) Have you any other comments?

#### Comments:

London Councils YPES would caution that the impact of the removal of EMA is not going to be fully felt until the 2012/13 academic year. During 2011/12 the demands made on the 16-19 Bursary Fund will be offset by the transition funding available for many students already in the system. Once this transition funding expires the Bursary Fund in 2012/13 will most likely need to cater for around double the amount of students it will provide for during 2011/12.

It is particularly important that the impact both regionally and on specific groups of young people should be closely monitored. Government needs to be prepared to address any adverse effects which emerge.

We understand that DfE's internal modelling provides confidence that the proposed Bursary Fund will be sufficient to meet demand from the most important groups. However, it is important to remember that there may be unpredictable factors which could affect demand including:

- how providers use their discretion over distribution of the fund in the first year. What the effect of increased participation may have on demand. Young people presently NEET are disproportionately more likely to be from the vulnerable groups Government is suggesting should receive the £1,200 bursary.

Q7) Finally, please let us have your views on responding to this consultation (e.g. the number and type of questions, was it easy to find, understand, complete etc.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example: Webber and Butler [2007] Classifying pupils by where they live: how well does this predict variations in their GCSE results? Urban Studies, Vol 44, no 7, p1229-1253

Comments:		

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to acknowledge individual responses unless you place an 'X' in the box below.

## Please acknowledge this reply ${f X}$

Here at the Department for Education we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would it be alright if we were to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?



All DfE public consultations are required to conform to the following criteria within the Government Code of Practice on Consultation:

Criterion 1: Formal consultation should take place at a stage when there is scope to influence the policy outcome.

Criterion 2: Consultations should normally last for at least 12 weeks with consideration given to longer timescales where feasible and sensible.

Criterion 3: Consultation documents should be clear about the consultation process, what is being proposed, the scope to influence and the expected costs and benefits of the proposals.

Criterion 4: Consultation exercises should be designed to be accessible to, and clearly targeted at, those people the exercise is intended to reach.

Criterion 5: Keeping the burden of consultation to a minimum is essential if consultations are to be effective and if consultees' buy-in to the process is to be obtained.

Criterion 6: Consultation responses should be analysed carefully and clear feedback should be provided to participants following the consultation.

Criterion 7: Officials running consultations should seek guidance in how to run an effective consultation exercise and share what they have learned from the experience.

If you have any comments on how DfE consultations are conducted, please contact Donna Harrison, DfE Consultation Co-ordinator, tel: 01928 738212 / email: donna.harrison@education.gsi.gov.uk

### Thank you for taking time to respond to this consultation.

Completed questionnaires and other responses should be sent to the address shown below by 20 May 2011

Send by post to: Consultation Unit Area 1C Castleview House East Lane Runcorn Cheshire WA7 2GJ

Send by e-mail to: <a href="mailto:learnersupportfunding.consultation@education.gsi.gov.uk">learnersupportfunding.consultation@education.gsi.gov.uk</a>