

London Councils

Minutes of the London Councils Leaders' Committee held on 8 December 2015
Mayor Jules Pipe chaired the meeting

Present:

BARKING AND DAGENHAM
BARNET
BEXLEY
BRENT
BROMLEY
CAMDEN
CROYDON
EALING
ENFIELD
GREENWICH
HACKNEY
HAMMERSMITH & FULHAM
HARINGEY
HARROW
HAVERING
HILLINGDON
HOUNSLOW
ISLINGTON
KENSINGTON & CHELSEA
KINGSTON
LAMBETH
LEWISHAM
MERTON
NEWHAM
REDBRIDGE
RICHMOND UPON THAMES
SOUTHWARK
SUTTON
TOWER HAMLETS
WALTHAM FOREST
WANDSWORTH
WESTMINSTER
CITY OF LONDON
LFEP

Cllr Darren Rodwell
Cllr Richard Cornelius
Cllr Teresa O'Neill OBE
Cllr M. A. Butt
Cllr Stephen Carr
Cllr Pat Callaghan
Cllr Tony Newman
Cllr Julian Bell
Cllr Doug Taylor
Cllr Denise Hyland
Mayor Jules Pipe
Cllr Stephen Cowan
Cllr Claire Kober
Cllr David Perry
Cllr Roger Ramsey
Cllr Ray Puddifoot MBE
Cllr Steve Curran
Cllr Richard Watts
Cllr Rock Feilding-Mellen
Cllr Kevin Davis
Cllr Lib Peck
Mayor Sir Steve Bullock
Cllr Stephen Alambritis
Cllr Ken Clark
-
Cllr Lord True
Cllr Peter John
Cllr Ruth Dombey
Mayor John Biggs
Cllr Keyvan Limbagee
Cllr Guy Senior
Cllr Philippa Roe
Mr Jeremy Mayhew
-

Apologies:

CAMDEN
KENSINGTON & CHELSEA
NEWHAM
REDBRIDGE
WALTHAM FOREST
WANDSWORTH
CITY OF LONDON

Cllr Sarah Hayward
Cllr Nicholas Paget-Brown
Mayor Sir Robin Wales
Cllr Jas Athwal
Cllr Chris Robbins
Cllr Ravi Govindia
Mr Mark Boleat

Ex officio (under the provisions of Standing Order 2.5)

Officers of London Councils and Mr Nathan Elvery, Chief Executive of L. B. Croydon and Mr Darra Singh OBE of EY were in attendance.

Before the meeting started the Chair informed the members that the L. B. of Brent was being awarded a London Charter Plus for their work on Elected Member Development. The Lead Assessor, Councillor Rodwell from Barking and Dagenham, made the presentation to the Leader of L. B. Brent, Councillor Butt.

1. Apologies for absence and announcement of deputies

The deputies listed above were noted.

2. Declarations of interest

Cllr Julian Bell and Cllr Pat Callaghan declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in item 8 *Increasing housing delivery* as Housing Association tenants

3. Minutes of Leaders' Committee meeting held on 13 October 2015

Leaders' Committee agreed the minutes of the Leaders' Committee meeting held on 13 October 2015.

4. Capital Ambition Board and London Ventures programme update

Mr Edward Lord (City of London, Capital Ambition Board) introduced the item saying:

- The report was the annual update that Leaders' Committee received on the work of the Capital Ambition Board and in particular the progress on the new model for delivering innovation and efficiency: London Ventures – a partnership with EY
- London Ventures created a space for the capital's local authorities to explore the use of innovation to support public services
- There was a broad portfolio of products ranging from IT solutions, finance tools and social care tools.

- London Ventures was now an established process with a portfolio of 15 Ventures with 21 boroughs now implementing at least one of the Ventures and current estimates provided by EY indicate that initial savings in the region of £6 million could be realised
- The current portfolio supported key areas of concern such as safeguarding children, reducing isolation and loneliness, and driving efficiencies through good practice IT estate management
- The report also sought the Committee's approval to undergo a procurement process for a new London Ventures contract.

Mr Lord then asked Mr Nathan Elvery, Chief Executive of L. B. Croydon to give a presentation on the benefits his borough had gained from London Ventures and Mr Darra Singh OBE to set out the basis of EY's involvement with London Ventures.

Following the presentations Mr Edward Lord summed up by saying that the recommendation to re-tender the London Ventures contract came with unanimous cross-party support from the Capital Ambition Board.

Leaders' Committee agreed to:

- Note the continuation of the London Energy Project, a legacy Capital Ambition project
- Approve the undertaking of a procurement process for a new London Ventures contract and delegate the decision on award of the contract to the Capital Ambition Board.

5. Constitutional matters – Amendments to London Councils' Standing Orders and the Capital Ambition Board terms of reference and dates of future meetings

Mr Lord introduced the item saying the report was largely technical and proposed some minor amendments to London Councils' Standing Orders and set out a proposed revised Capital Ambition Board Terms of Reference and some meeting dates

Leaders' Committee agreed to the:

- recommended amendments to London Councils' Standing Orders, as detailed in an appendix to the report

- proposed amendments to Capital Ambition's terms of reference
- Capital Ambition Board meeting dates listed in the report.

6. Business rates devolution

The Chair introduced the report saying that it was a discussion document to provoke thought and make Leaders aware of the opportunities and potential pitfalls of the business rates proposals:

- The proposed reforms created potential opportunities to underpin London's broader devolution ambitions:
 - The capital was likely to generate a rates 'surplus' of at least £4bn by 2020, and could develop regional proposals to put to government about additional responsibilities to that value
 - Business rates was potentially a buoyant funding stream and
 - Reform could open the door to further fiscal devolution
- But the reforms could present real risks for local government, if
 - Government chose to only transfer existing grants or departmental responsibilities without real changes to the autonomy that councils had or the ability to manage risk, and
 - It left the retention scheme unreformed.
- The report therefore outlined four potential ambitions for London Councils in its work on any one hundred per cent retention system, which leaders were asked to endorse. These were that the reforms should:
 - Be contingent on improvements to the current business rates system
 - Support London's devolution and public service reform ambitions
 - Support the devolved governance of London (mayor and boroughs) and
 - Be the start, not the end, of fiscal devolution
- The reforms would require extensive consultation with government in 2016 through working groups and official consultations. The report proposed that a small group of Leaders were to be convened to oversee and provide political direction to this work, to be supported by a technical officer group including chief executives and treasurers.

Cllr Philippa Roe (Conservative, Westminster) urged caution on the figure of a £4bn 'surplus' by 2020 as she assumed it was based on projected growth that the experience of her borough would suggest was unsustainable.

Cllr Richard Watts (Labour, Islington) also pointed out that the impact of the change to permitted development rights - allowing changes from office to residential space – would have in his borough a significant impact on business rate income and asked London Councils to redouble its lobbying efforts on the issue. This was agreed.

Cllr Stephen Carr (Conservative, Bromley) pointed to the extent to which resets over a fixed period promoted irrational behaviour and disincentivised growth in parts of the reset cycle. The Chair agreed and argued for a rolling reset arrangement whereby the proceeds from growth would be retained by local authorities for a fixed defined period and it was agreed to add this as a lobbying point.

Leaders' Committee agreed to:

- endorse the four overarching ambitions to guide the development of proposals for a rates retention system for London
- endorse the proposals for political and officer oversight and guidance for the work required.

7. Spending Review 2015

The Chair introduced the item by saying:

- It summarised the outcome of the recent Spending Review but Leaders should be aware that there could well be further distributional impacts when this was translated into the formal Local Government Settlement later in the month
- In relation to Health, the agreement with London partners that had previously been discussed with Leaders was being favourably viewed by government and was close to being finalised

Cllr Carr argued for a *Better Safety Fund* on the lines of the Better Care Fund to look at issues such as anti-social behavior and CCTV infrastructure.

Leaders' Committee agreed to note the report.

8. Increasing housing delivery

Mayor Sir Steve Bullock introduced the item saying that:

- As the Housing and Planning Bill progressed through parliament London Councils would continue to press on issues with particular relevance to London including homelessness. It would also argue for resources to come to and be retained in London
- There had been measures in the Spending Review that affected housing, some were welcomed but others caused some anxieties
- Work had progressed on a vehicle for greater borough collaboration to boost supply but more detail needed to be shared before this could be discussed in a more informed way
- There was much which remained uncertain and the exact final shape of the Bill would not be seen until 3rd Reading.

Cllr Rock Fielding-Mellen (Conservative, R.B. Kensington and Chelsea) argued for the need to look at Homelessness legislation and explained – in response to a comment from Mayor Bullock – that he did not mean relaxing the responsibility on local authorities to house homeless persons but to place the emphasis back on government to bear its cost.

Cllr Carr complained that the presumption in favour of development ignored the importance of local knowledge in determining planning applications and Cllr Richard Cornelius (Conservative, Barnet) argued that local authorities were being wrongly blamed for the lack of housing delivery while some developers should also be held to account for sitting on land and not developing it.

Leaders' Committee agreed to note the report.

9. Review of the delivery of a Grants Programme

Cllr Paul McGlone introduced the item, and reported on the consideration by the Grants Committee in November of the consultation into the future Programme from April 2017 onwards. This consultation had taken place between July and October 2015. The outcome of that exercise had been fully considered by Grants Committee and was summarised in the report to Leaders' Committee. The Grants Committee had concluded that:

- *There was a strong case for continuing a Grants Programme focused on combatting sexual and domestic violence and poverty through worklessness (accessing ESF match funding) for the 2017/21 period*
- *There may be a case for continuing a programme focused on homelessness provided that Grants and Leaders' Committees can be given stronger evidence of where that homelessness currently comes from across London and also that going forward commissions could address the differing priorities between inner and outer London*
- *While not without merit, given current financial constraints, there was unlikely to be a strong enough case for continuing a priority on capacity building in the voluntary sector and*

Going forward, boroughs need to be assured that robust regular and transparent monitoring and reporting of the activities of the Grants Programme continues so that outcomes benefiting the residents in individual boroughs could be evidenced. To that end it was important that commissioned outcomes be able to evidence value for money and that

- *Service providers are working in partnership with borough third sector organisations*
- *London Councils facilitated a stronger network of officer relationships between itself, senior Borough officers and third sector providers and umbrella organisations in each borough to ensure continuing Pan-London ownership of the whole Grants Programme.*

Since the meeting of the Grants Committee, the Chancellor of the Exchequer had announced the outcome of the Comprehensive Spending Review on 25 November. This indicated a further 30% reduction in core funding for boroughs over the next Spending Review period providing impetus for the further round of consultation.

Cllr McGlone also emphasised that in addition to the overall consideration of equality considerations that would inform collective decisions about the future of the Programme, boroughs would wish to consider the equality considerations of any changes to the

Programme that they may support and ways that any negative impacts could be mitigated locally.

Cllr Carr commented as follows, he:

- Congratulated Cllr McGlone on his efforts to reduce the cost of the Grants Programme
- Expressed his support, first for the work on Domestic Violence but second, also for further work to be done on avoiding duplication in any funding or provision
- He stressed the importance of continuing to involve the Lead members on the Grants Committee in performance monitoring approaches.

Mr Jeremy Mayhew (City of London) made a contribution which he acknowledged was as much in his capacity as Chair of the City Bridge Trust as it was in his capacity as the City of London's (deputy) representative on Leaders' Committee. He fully acknowledged the financial climate facing boroughs and the need for them to make difficult choices about relative priorities in respect of future expenditure plans. He was, however:

- Reluctant to see London local government support for capacity building completely dropped
- Keen to indicate that the City was willing to work with boroughs on this issue and the Town Clerk and Chief Executive had written to all borough chief executives to that end.

The Chair responded:

- By pointing to the pressures brought by the 40% cut in core funding since 2010 and significant reductions to follow in the next Spending Review period
- The need for boroughs to secure value-for-money in all activities
- The concerns of outer London boroughs about the balance of benefits to be secured from the Programme for different users and of the importance of future commissioning decisions reflecting that balance
- The need to take a balanced view between the outcome of the consultation and the financial position of boroughs – particularly following the Comprehensive Spending

Review. The recommendations of the Grants Committee about how that should be reflected in future priorities was helpful

Leaders' Committee agreed:

- That it had considered the evidence and analysis presented to the Grants Committee on 18 November and the Committee's recommendations as to the future scale and scope of a grants programme after the end of the current programme in March 2017
- It was minded to endorse future priorities around combating sexual and domestic violence and poverty through worklessness. It was also minded to support a priority on tackling homelessness if, going forward, commissions could address the different priorities between inner and outer London. It was not minded to support a priority around Capacity Building for the Third Sector given the likely availability of resources for boroughs following the significant reduction in core funding.
- That London Councils should undertake a further, limited consultation to further inform the Leaders' Committee decision at its meeting in March 2016 as to the future scale and scope of a Grants Programme beyond the end of the current programme.
- It concurred with the Grants Committee that London Councils' officers should work to strengthen programme management and relationships with boroughs at a local level, in particular, to provide more detailed reports on performance by borough, sharing them with borough officers, to support the management of each priority and delivery of outcomes
- The meeting of the Grants Executive on 2 March 2015 is changed to a Grants Committee meeting and the meeting of the Grants Committee on 23 March 2015 is changed to a meeting of the Grants Executive.

10. London Councils Grants Scheme - Budget Proposals 2016/17

Cllr McGlone introduced the item referring to the previous report and pointing out that this report contained a recommendation that for 2016/17 a transfer from Grants Committee reserves of £486,000 be made and returned to boroughs in the form of a one-off repayment.

Leaders' Committee agreed:

- An overall level of expenditure of £10 million for the Grants Scheme in 2016/17 (inclusive of £2 million gross ESF programme), a reduction of £500,000 on the current year

- That taking into account the application of £1 million ESF grant, borough contributions for 2016/17 should be £9 million
- That, in addition and for 2016/17 only, a proposed transfer from Grants Committee reserves of £486,000 be made and returned to boroughs in the form of a one-off repayment;
- That further to the recommendations above, constituent councils be informed of the Committee's recommendation and be reminded that further to the Order issued by the Secretary of State for the Environment under Section 48 (4A) of the Local Government Act 1985, if the constituent councils have not reached agreement by the two-thirds majority specified before 1 February 2016 they shall be deemed to have approved expenditure of an amount equal to the amount approved for the preceding financial year (i.e. £10.5 million);
- That constituent councils be advised that the apportionment of contributions for 2016/17 would be based on the ONS mid-year population estimates for June 2014 and that this methodology would also apply to the proposed one-off repayment of £486,000 for 2016/17 and
- That subject to the approval of an overall level of expenditure, the Committee agreed to set aside a provision of £555,000 for costs incurred by London Councils in providing staff and other support services to ensure delivery of the Committee's "making of grants" responsibilities, including ESF administration of £120,000.

11. Proposed Revenue Budget and Borough Subscriptions and Charges 2016/17

The Chair introduced the item saying

- In drawing up the report officers had taken account of the following guidelines that he had laid out for them:
 - policy, service and support coverage to be consistent with the outcome of the Review of the Future Role, Shape and Size of London Councils completed in 2011 which agreed a reduced remit and scale of activity
 - within the context of the above, opportunities for both one-off repatriation of reserves and operational efficiencies to support boroughs in a time of acute financial challenge continued to be sought and

- direct service overhead costs continued to be borne down on
- The Executive, on a cross party basis, endorsed a set of recommendations in November that met these criteria. That report proposed:
 - the payment of £1.65 million from uncommitted reserves to boroughs in 2016/17 – an average repayment of just under £50,000
 - total reductions of £617,000 from the operation of the TEC Direct Services in 2016/17, producing an average saving of around £18,000 per borough and
 - a reduction of £5,515 per borough in the subscription for the Joint Committee for 2016/17.
- The total proposed savings and repatriation of funds to boroughs for 2016/17 would amount to more than £2.4 million, or an average of more than £73,000 per borough. For illustrative purposes, this was an amount that equated to what would be 44% of the level of the current Joint Committee subscription.

Cllr Cornelius pointed out that boroughs were making difficult savings and he would have hoped London Councils could have made further savings to those proposed. In particular, he asked whether a headquarters building located in a central part of London, where office costs were high, was really justified?

The Chair responded by saying:

- That on the question of the building, as part of its support for London Councils the City of London - which owned the office - provided it on a commercial but competitive and non-punitive basis. In response to a comment from Cllr Lord True supporting Cllr Cornelius, he reassured the meeting that the cost of the building, like any potential area of saving, needed to be kept under review and was not being absolutely ruled out
- More generally, the London boroughs faced a challenging future with many factors such as the evolution of sub-regional working, the devolution of business rates, future devolution and public service reform, as well as a new CSR landscape all needing to

be addressed and there was a piece of work in 2016 looking at the shape and form of support that the boroughs needed. He agreed on the need to reduce costs but within this context of examining what boroughs needed. London Councils had changed in quite radical ways previously to reflect the prevailing environment of those times and it was substantially smaller than it was five years ago. There would always be a need to keep purpose and function under review and to shape form, size and cost to fit that function. Work on that would take place in 2016

- He did not want to see a situation develop whereby by simply reducing the amount spent on London Councils, boroughs ended up spending more cumulatively to secure those objectives

Cllr Ray Puddifoot MBE (Conservative, Hillingdon) agreed that efficiencies needed to be looked for but at the same time the challenges not forgotten. If there was ever a time when the boroughs needed a strong London Councils, with the devolution agenda as it was, it was now.

Leaders' Committee agreed to approve the following borough subscription and charges:

- The proposed Joint Committee subscription for boroughs of £161,958 per borough for 2016/17, a reduction of 3.3% on the charge of £167,473 for 2015/16. The City of London to pay £158,195, in recognition of the fact the City was historically not part of the regional Local Government Employers (LGE) arrangements
- The proposed Joint Committee subscription for the MOPAC and the LFEPA of £15,410 for 2016/17, a reduction of 3.3% on the charge of £15,920 for 2015/16
- An overall level of expenditure of £10 million for the Grants Scheme in 2016/17 (inclusive of £2 million gross ESF programme); and
- That taking into account the application of £1 million ESF grant, net borough contributions for 2016/17 to be £9 million, the same level as for 2015/16.

Leaders' Committee also agreed to endorse the following subscription and charges for 2016/17 for TEC, which were considered by the TEC Executive Sub-Committee on 24 November and which would be considered by the full meeting of TEC on 10 December:

- The Parking Core Administration Charge of £1,500 per borough and for TfL (2015/16 - £1,500)

- No charge to boroughs in respect of the Freedom Pass Administration Charge, which was covered by replacement Freedom Pass income (2015/16 - £8,674)
- The net Taxicard Administration Charge to boroughs of £338,182 in total (2015/16 - £338,182)
- No charge to boroughs and TfL in respect of the Lorry Control Administration Charge, which was fully covered by estimated PCN income (2015/16 – no charge)
- The Parking Enforcement Service Charge of £0.4681 per PCN, which would be distributed to boroughs and TfL in accordance with the number of PCNs issued in 2014/15 (2015/16 - £0.4333 per PCN)
- The Parking and Traffic Appeals Charge of £33.32 per appeal or £29.90 per appeal where electronic evidence was provided by the enforcing authority (2015/16 - £33.40/£29.97 per appeal). In addition, a new differential charge for hearing Statutory Declarations of £28.17 for hard copy submissions and £27.49 for electronic submissions (2015/16 - £33.40/£29.97 per SD)
- Congestion Charging Appeals – to be recovered on a full cost recovery basis, as for 2015/16, subject to the continuing agreement of the GLA under existing contractual arrangements
- The TRACE (Electronic) Charge of £7.31 per transaction (2015/16 - £8.60)
- The TRACE (Fax) Charge of £7.48 per transaction (2015/16 - £8.80) and
- The PEC, PED and PIE Charges of £0.17 per transaction (2015/16 - £0.20)

On the basis of the above proposed level of subscriptions and charges, Leaders' Committee agreed to approve:

- The provisional consolidated revenue expenditure budget for 2016/17 for London Councils of £398.193 million
- The provisional consolidated revenue income budget for 2016/17 for London Councils of £398.193 million
- Within the total income requirement, the use of London Council reserves of £2.469 million in 2016/17, inclusive of the proposed £1.651 million repatriation to borough in 2016/17

Leaders' Committee also agreed to note:

- The indicative London Councils revenue budget, for the period 2017/18 and 2018/19, as detailed in the report

- The position in respect of forecast uncommitted London Council reserves as at 31 March 2016, as detailed in the report and
- The positive statement on the adequacy of the residual London Councils reserves issued by the Director of Corporate Resources, as detailed in the report.

12. Minutes and Summaries

Leader's Committee agreed to note the draft minutes and summaries:

- Audit Committee – 24 September
- TEC – 15 October
- Capital Ambition Board – 20 October
- Executive – 17 November

The meeting resolved to exclude the press and public.

The meeting ended at 12:45.

Action Points

| Item | | Action | Progress |
|------|---|--------|---|
| 4. | Capital Ambition Board and London Ventures programme update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undertake a procurement process for a new London Ventures contract and delegate the decision on award of the contract to Capital Ambition Board. | CA | Competitive dialogue process initiated in December 2015 with formal announcement of tender for new London Ventures contract |
| 5. | Constitutional matters – Amendments to London Councils' Standing Orders and the Capital Ambition Board terms of reference and dates of future meetings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend Standing Orders and CAB ToRs | CG/CA | Amendments to CAB's ToR and Standing Orders are in place |

| | | | |
|----|---|--------------|---|
| 6. | Business rates devolution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make arrangements for political and officer oversight and guidance for the work. | CG/CX office | A working group has been set up and the first meeting is to be held on 9 th February |
| 9. | Review of the delivery of a Grants Programme <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undertake a further, limited consultation to further inform the Leaders' Committee decision at its meeting in March 2016 as to the future scale and scope of a grants programme beyond the end of the current programme • Work to strengthen programme management and relationships with boroughs at a local level, in particular, to provide more detailed reports on performance by borough, sharing them with borough officers, to support the management of each priority and delivery of outcomes • The meeting of the Grants Executive on 2 March 2015 is changed to a Grants Committee meeting and the meeting of the Grants Committee on 23 March 2015 is changed to a meeting of the Grants Executive. | Grants | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultation launched 17 December 2015, closed on 22 January 2016. Officers currently analysing responses to report to the March meetings of Grants and Leaders' Committees. • Further work to strengthen the programme management being undertaken. Quarterly reports will be circulated to relevant borough officer networks. A proposal on how to engage with boroughs on the next stage of the grants review will be included in the Grants Committee report, 9 March 2016. • This has since changed. The March meeting of the Grants Committee has been moved to 9 March 2016. There will not be a Grants Executive meeting in March |

| | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| 10. | <p>London Councils Grants Scheme - Budget Proposals 2016/17</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall level of expenditure to be £10 million for the Grants Scheme in 2016/17 (inclusive of £2 million gross ESF programme), a reduction of £500,000 on the current year • That taking into account the application of £1 million ESF grant, borough contributions for 2016/17 to be £9 million • That, in addition and for 2016/17 only, a transfer from Grants Committee reserves of £486,000 to be made and returned to boroughs in the form of a one-off repayment • That further to the recommendations above, constituent councils be informed of the Committee's recommendation and be reminded that further to the Order issued by the Secretary of State for the Environment under Section 48 (4A) of the Local Government Act 1985, if the constituent councils have not reached agreement by the two-thirds majority specified before 1 February 2016 they shall be deemed to have approved expenditure of an amount equal to the amount approved for the preceding financial year (i.e. £10.5 million) • That constituent councils be advised that the apportionment of contributions for 2016/17 would be based on the ONS mid-year population estimates for June 2014 and that this methodology would also apply to the proposed one-off repayment of £486,000 for 2016/17 and • That subject to the approval of an overall level of expenditure, set aside a provision of £555,000 for costs incurred by London Councils in providing staff and other support services to ensure delivery of the Committee's "making of grants" responsibilities, including ESF administration of £120,000. | Grants/ Corp Resour- ces | |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|--|

| | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------|--|
| 11. | <p>Proposed Revenue Budget and Borough Subscriptions and Charges 2016/17</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Joint Committee subscription for boroughs to be £161,958 per borough for 2016/17, a reduction of 3.3% on the charge of £167,473 for 2015/16. The City of London to pay £158,195, in recognition of the fact the City was historically not part of the regional Local Government Employers (LGE) arrangements • The proposed Joint Committee subscription for the MOPAC and the LFEPA to be £15,410 for 2016/17, a reduction of 3.3% on the charge of £15,920 for 2015/16 • An overall level of expenditure to be £10 million for the Grants Scheme in 2016/17 (inclusive of £2 million gross ESF programme) and • That taking into account the application of £1 million ESF grant, net borough contributions for 2016/17 to be £9 million • The following subscription and charges for 2016/17 for TEC, which were considered by the TEC Executive Sub-Committee on 24 November and which would be considered by the full meeting of TEC on 10 December to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Parking Core Administration Charge of £1,500 per borough and for TfL (2015/16 - £1,500) ○ No charge to boroughs in respect of the Freedom Pass Administration Charge, which was covered by replacement Freedom Pass income (2015/16 - £8,674) ○ The net Taxicard Administration Charge to boroughs of £338,182 in total (2015/16 - £338,182) ○ No charge to boroughs and TfL in respect of the Lorry Control Administration Charge, which was fully covered by estimated PCN income (2015/16 – no charge) ○ The Parking Enforcement Service Charge of £0.4681 per PCN, which would be distributed to boroughs and TfL in accordance with the number of PCNs issued in 2014/15 (2015/16 - | Corp Resources | The approved budget will be implemented in 2016/17 |
|-----|---|-----------------------|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>£0.4333 per PCN)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Parking and Traffic Appeals Charge of £33.32 per appeal or £29.90 per appeal where electronic evidence was provided by the enforcing authority (2015/16 - £33.40/£29.97 per appeal). In addition, a new differential charge for hearing Statutory Declarations of £28.17 for hard copy submissions and £27.49 for electronic submissions (2015/16 - £33.40/£29.97 per SD) ○ Congestion Charging Appeals – to be recovered on a full cost recovery basis, as for 2015/16, subject to the continuing agreement of the GLA under existing contractual arrangements ○ The TRACE (Electronic) Charge of £7.31 per transaction (2015/16 - £8.60) ○ The TRACE (Fax) Charge of £7.48 per transaction (2015/16 - £8.80) and ○ The PEC, PED and PIE Charges of £0.17 per transaction (2015/16 - £0.20) <p>On the basis of the above proposed level of subscriptions and charges:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The provisional consolidated revenue expenditure budget for 2016/17 for London Councils to be £398.193 million • The provisional consolidated revenue income budget for 2016/17 for London Councils to be £398.193 million • Within the total income requirement, London Council to use reserves of £2.469 million in 2016/17, inclusive of the proposed £1.651 million repatriation to borough in 2016/17. | | |
|--|--|--|--|